

Natural Language Processing CMSC 723 (spring, 2001)

April 04, 2001

- Review CFG's
- Top-Down Parsing, Bottom-Up Parsing
- Top-Down with Bottom-up Filtering
- Ambiguity, Recursion
- Repeated parsing of substructures
- Dynamic Programming
- Dotted Rule Notation

1

Example Context-Free Grammar and Example sentence

[Figure 10.1]

3

Example Context-Free Grammar and Example sentence

[Figure 10.2]

2

Parsing as search

- **Top down:** parser searches for a parse tree by trying to build from the root node S down to the leaves.
- **Bottom up:** parser starts with words of input and tries to build trees from the words up, applying rules from grammar one at a time.

4

Top-Down Parsing

What is the goal?

5

Basic Top-Down Parser

[Figure 10.6]

7

Expanding Top-Down Search Space

[Figure 10.3]

6

Bottom-Up Parsing

What is the primary consideration?

8

Bottom-Up parsing

[Figure 10.4]

9

Top-Down and Bottom-Up Combined

Many ways to combine top-down expectations with bottom-up data.

Most popular: use one method as the basic search control strategy and then other method to filter out "bad" structures.

11

Comparing Top-Down and Bottom-Up parsing

What are the advantages and disadvantages of TD vs. BU parsing?

- **TOP DOWN**
Advantages:
Disadvantages:

- **BOTTOM UP**
Advantages:
Disadvantages:

10

Combining Top-Down with Bottom-Up Filtering

Digression: Search Strategies

1. Parallel

2. Depth-First

12

Search Control Issues

Additional Digression:

- Choosing which node in the tree to expand next
- Choosing which of the applicable grammar rule to try

13

Top-Down Parsing Example

[Figure 10.7b]

15

Top-Down Parsing Example

[Figure 10.7a]

14

Top-Down Parsing Example

[Figure 10.7c]

16

Top-Down Parsing Example

[Figure 10.7d]

17

Ambiguity

Two types of ambiguity:

- Local ambiguity: locally reasonable, but eventually leads nowhere. Example: "Book that flight"
- Global ambiguity: multiple parses for the same input. Example: [Figure 10.13]

19

Why would it be beneficial to add in Bottom-up filtering?

- Ambiguity
- Left recursion
- Repeated parsing of subtrees

18

Left Recursion: Immediate

NP → NP PP
VP → VP PP
S → S and S
NP → NP and NP

20

Left Recursion: Indirect

Abstractly...

$A \rightarrow BC$

$B \rightarrow DE$

$D \rightarrow AF$

What's an example?

21

Rule Ordering

Basic idea...

Bad:

$NP \rightarrow NP PP$

$NP \rightarrow \text{Det Nominal}$

Better alternative?

23

Solutions

- Rule ordering
- Don't use recursive rules
- Limit the depth of recursion
- Don't use top-down parsing

22

Grammar Rewriting

Rewrite left-recursive grammar as weakly equivalent non-recursive one.

24

Grammar Rewriting Example

NP → NP PP
NP → Det Nominal

```
[NP [NP the book]
  [PP on [NP [NP the table]
    [PP in [NP [NP the yard]
      [PP of [NP the house]]]]]]]]
[NP the book
  [Stuff
    [PP on [NP the table
      [Stuff
        [PP in [NP the yard
          [Stuff
            [PP of [NP the house [Stuff]]]
            [Stuff]]]]
        [Stuff]]]]
    [Stuff]]]]
[Stuff]]]
```

Ambiguity

- Rely on semantics
- Rely on probabilities
- Both

Depth Bound

To use a depth-bound, there are many different approaches, e.g., setting an arbitrary or analytically derived bound.

Adding Bottom-Up Filtering

Improvement: Parser should eliminate any grammar rule if the current input cannot serve as the **first word along the left edge of some derivation** from this rule.

Category	Left Corners
S	Det, Proper-Noun, Aux, Verb
NP	Det, Proper-Noun
Nominal	Noun
VP	Verb

Filtering with left corners: Don't consider any expansion where the current input cannot serve as the left-corner of that expansion.

Invariants

Sentence: "a flight from Indianapolis to Houston on TWA"

- NP → Det Nominal
- NP → NP PP
- NP → Proper-Noun

Invariants cont.

[Figure 10.14b]

Invariants cont.

[Figure 10.14a]

Invariants cont.

[Figure 10.14c]

Dynamic Programming

We want an algorithm that fills a table with solutions to subproblems that:

- Does not do repeated work
- Does top-down search with bottom-up filtering (sort of)
- Solves the left-recursion problem
- Solves an exponential problem in $O(n^3)$ time.

33

States

$S \rightarrow \bullet VP$
 $NP \rightarrow Det \bullet Nominal$
 $VP \rightarrow V NP \bullet$

35

Dynamic Programming and Parsing

Use a table of size $n + 1$. The table entries sit in the gaps between the words:

- Completed constituents
- In-progress constituents
- Predicted constituents

34

States cont.

Keep track of:

- What word it is currently processing.
- Where it is in the processing of the current rule.
- Where it should return to when done w/ current rule.

36