

# Error

- Define the error term as:

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x) = f(x) - p_n(x)$$

- If  $f(x)$  is an  $n^{\text{th}}$  order polynomial  $p_n(x)$  is of course exact.
- Otherwise, since there is a perfect match at  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$
- This function has at least  $n+1$  roots at the interpolation points.

$$\therefore \mathcal{E}_n(x) = (x - x_0)(x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_n)h(x)$$

# Interpolation Errors

- Suppose we want to measure error at a point  $x$
- To make polynomial go through  $x$ , add to existing polynomial divided difference term.
- This is the error we make using existing polynomial

$$x \notin \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x) = f(x) - p_n(x) = f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x] \prod_{i=0}^n (x - x_i)$$

- Comparing with Taylor series

$$f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] = \frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(\xi)$$

# Interpolation Errors

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x) = f(x) - p_n(x) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} f^{(n+1)}(\xi) \prod_{i=0}^n (x - x_i)$$

$$x \in [a, b], \xi \in (a, b)$$

- Looks a bit like Taylor series remainder
- Recall, first  $n+1$  terms of the Taylor Series is also an  $n^{\text{th}}$  degree polynomial.

# Efficient polynomial evaluation

- Given a polynomial in power form how many operations does it take to evaluate it?
- $a_p x^p + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$

## Horner's Rule

- Horner's rule (Horner, 1819) *recursively* evaluates the polynomial  $a_p x^p + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$  as:  
$$((\dots(a_p x + a_{p-1})x + \dots)x + a_0.$$
- costs  $p$  multiplications and  $p$  additions, no extra storage.  
Reduces complexity from  $O(p^2)$  to  $O(p)$

# Interpolation: the story so far

- Given a function at  $N$  points, find its value at other point(s)
- So far: polynomial interpolation
  - Polynomials are guaranteed to approximate any given function in an interval as accurately as we want
- Different polynomial bases
  - Monomial or Power basis
  - Newton and Lagrange basis
- For a given set of points and function values
  - interpolating polynomial is unique
- Interpolation problem requires solution of a linear system
  - System is dense for Monomial/Power basis
  - Newton and Lagrange forms allow the direct solution of the polynomial interpolation form
  - Newton form particularly convenient to add new values
- Error for interpolation with  $n$  points is related to the value of the  $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$  derivative of the underlying function

# Polyinterp

- Lagrange interpolation code
  - x,y are points and function values
  - u are points where vector function  $v = \text{polyinterp}(x,y,u)$

```

n = length(x);
v = zeros(size(u));
for k = 1:n
  %Lagrange function k at u
  w = ones(size(u));
  for j = [1:k-1 k+1:n]
    w = (u-x(j))./(x(k)-x(j)).*w;
  end
  v = v + w*y(k);
end

```

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(x) f(x_i)$$

$$L_i(x) = \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^n \frac{(x - x_k)}{(x_i - x_k)}$$

$$L_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

- Cost: 2 nested loops, so the cost is  $n^2$ .

- $k = 5, n = 9$
- $j = [1:k-1 \ k+1:n]$
- $j = \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 & & & & \end{matrix}$

# Examples of polynomial interpolation

- Go to MATLAB demo
  - Vandermonde
  - Polynomial interpolation for small set
  - For larger set
- See that even for six points we have a problem
  - In between the data points, (especially in first and last subintervals), function shows excessive variation.
  - overshoots changes in the data values.
  - As a result, full-degree polynomial interpolation is hardly ever used for data and curve fitting.
- However we saw polynomial interpolation works well when degree is low

# Piecewise linear interpolation

- Simple idea
  - Connect straight lines between data points
  - Any intermediate value read off from straight line
- The *local variable*,  $s$ , is
- $s = x - x_k$
- The *first divided difference* is
- $\delta_k = (y_{k+1} - y_k)/(x_{k+1} - x_k)$
- With these quantities in hand, the interpolant is
- $L(x) = y_k + (x - x_k) (y_{k+1} - y_k)/(x_{k+1} - x_k)$
- $= y_k + s\delta_k$
- Linear function that passes through  $(x_k, y_k)$  and  $(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1})$

# Piecewise linear interpolation

- Same format as all other interpolants
- Function `diff` finds difference of elements in a vector
- Find appropriate sub-interval
- Evaluate
- Jargon:  $x$  is called a “knot” for the linear spline interpolant

```
function v = piecelin(x,y,u)
%PIECELIN Piecewise linear interpolation.
% v = piecelin(x,y,u) finds piecewise linear L(x)
% with L(x(j)) = y(j) and returns v(k) = L(u(k)).
% First divided difference
delta = diff(y)./diff(x);
% Find subinterval indices k so that x(k) <= u <
x(k+1)
n = length(x);
k = ones(size(u));
for j = 2:n-1
k(x(j) <= u) = j;
end
% Evaluate interpolant
s = u - x(k);
v = y(k) + s.*delta(k);
```

## How good is piecewise linear interpolation?

Recall from Polynomial interpolation: If  $f \in \mathcal{C}^n[I]$ , then

$$f(x) - p_{n-1}(x) = \frac{(x - x_1) \dots (x - x_n) f^{(n)}(\xi)}{n!}$$

for some point  $\xi$  in the interval containing  $I$  and  $x$ .

We need to apply this to a polynomial of degree  $n - 1 = 1$ , so we obtain

$$f(x) - p_1(x) = \frac{(x - x_i)(x - x_{i+1}) f''(\xi)}{2}$$

- So we can reduce error by choosing small intervals where 2<sup>nd</sup> derivative is higher
  - If we can choose where to sample data
  - Do more where the “action” is more

# Piecewise Cubic interpolation

- While we expect function not to vary, we expect it to also be smooth
- So we could consider piecewise interpolants of higher degree
- How many pieces of information do we need to fit a cubic between two points?
  - $y=a+bx+cx^2+dx^3$
  - 4 coefficients
  - Need 4 pieces of information
  - 2 values at end points
  - Need 2 more pieces of information
  - Derivatives?

## Cubic interpolation

- ordinary cubic polynomials: 3 continuous nonzero derivatives.
- **cubic splines**: 2 continuous nonzero derivatives.
- **Hermite cubics**: 1 continuous nonzero derivative.
- However for Hermite, the derivative needs to be specified
- Cubic splines, the derivative is not specified but enforced