

# Supplemental Material: Unsupervised Feature Extraction Inspired by Latent Low-Rank Representation

Yaming Wang, Vlad I. Morariu, Larry S. Davis  
 University of Maryland, College Park, MD, 20742, USA  
 {wym, morariu, lsd}@umiacs.umd.edu

## 1. Proof of Proposition 1

**Proposition 1.** *During the iteration procedure described in Algorithm 1,  $Z_k$  and  $L_k$  always keep the form*

$$Z_k = V_X W_{Z_k} V_X^T, \quad L_k = U_X W_{L_k} U_X^T, \quad (1)$$

$\forall$  positive integer  $k$ , where  $W_{Z_k}$  and  $W_{L_k}$  are  $r \times r$  diagonal matrices containing the singular values.

Furthermore, the orthogonal matrices involved in the SVD decomposition of any matrix in Algorithm 1 must be  $U_X$  or  $V_X$ , depending on its shape.

*Proof.* Proof by induction.

$X$  has skinny svd decomposition

$$X = U_X \Sigma_X V_X^T, \quad (2)$$

where  $\Sigma_X = \text{diag}\{\sigma_{X_i}\}$  is an  $r \times r$  diagonal matrix containing nonzero singular values. In the rest of the proof, the size of all the diagonal matrices is  $r \times r$ .

(i) When  $n = 1$ , it follows from Algorithm 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= 0 = V_X \text{diag}\{0\} V_X^T, \\ S_1 &= 0 = U_X \text{diag}\{0\} U_X^T, \\ Z_1 &= V_X \text{diag}\left\{\frac{\sigma_{X_i}^2}{1 + \sigma_{X_i}^2}\right\} V_X^T, \\ L_1 &= U_X \text{diag}\left\{\frac{\sigma_{X_i}^2}{(1 + \sigma_{X_i}^2)^2}\right\} U_X^T, \\ Y_{11} &= U_X \text{diag}\left\{\frac{\mu_0 \sigma_{X_i}}{(1 + \sigma_{X_i}^2)^2}\right\} V_X^T, \\ Y_{21} &= \mu_0 Z_1 = V_X \text{diag}\left\{\frac{\mu_0 \sigma_{X_i}^2}{1 + \sigma_{X_i}^2}\right\} V_X^T, \\ Y_{31} &= \mu_0 L_1 = U_X \text{diag}\left\{\frac{\mu_0 \sigma_{X_i}^2}{(1 + \sigma_{X_i}^2)^2}\right\} U_X^T. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the conclusion holds for  $n = 1$ .

(ii) Assume the conclusion holds for  $n = k$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} J_k &= V_X \text{diag}\{j_{ki}\} V_X^T, \\ S_k &= U_X \text{diag}\{s_{ki}\} U_X^T, \\ Z_k &= V_X \text{diag}\{z_{ki}\} V_X^T, \\ L_k &= U_X \text{diag}\{l_{ki}\} U_X^T, \\ Y_{1k} &= U_X \text{diag}\{y_{1ki}\} V_X^T, \\ Y_{2k} &= V_X \text{diag}\{y_{2ki}\} V_X^T, \\ Y_{3k} &= U_X \text{diag}\{y_{3ki}\} U_X^T. \end{aligned}$$

When  $n = k + 1$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k+1} &= \text{argmin}_J \frac{1}{\mu_k} \|J\|_* + \frac{1}{2} \|J - (Z_k + Y_{2k}/\mu_k)\|_{\mathbb{F}}^2 \\ &= V_X \text{diag}\{j^{(k+1)}_i\} V_X^T \\ S_{k+1} &= \text{argmin}_S \frac{1}{\mu_k} \|S\|_* + \frac{1}{2} \|S - (L_k + Y_{3k}/\mu_k)\|_{\mathbb{F}}^2 \\ &= U_X \text{diag}\{s^{(k+1)}_i\} U_X^T \\ Z_{k+1} &= (I + X^T X)^{-1} (X^T (X - L_k X) + J_{k+1} \\ &\quad + (X^T Y_{1k} - Y_{2k})/\mu_k) \\ &= V_X \text{diag}\{z^{(k+1)}_i\} V_X^T, \\ L_{k+1} &= ((X - X Z_{k+1}) X^T + S_{k+1} \\ &\quad + (Y_{1k} X^T - Y_{3k})/\mu_k) (I + X X^T)^{-1} \\ &= U_X \text{diag}\{l^{(k+1)}_i\} U_X^T, \\ Y_{1(k+1)} &= Y_{1k} + \mu_k (X - X Z_{k+1} - L_{k+1} X) \\ &= U_X \text{diag}\{y_{1(k+1)}_i\} V_X^T, \\ Y_{2(k+1)} &= Y_{2k} + \mu_k (Z_{k+1} - J_{k+1}) \\ &= V_X \text{diag}\{y_{2(k+1)}_i\} V_X^T, \\ Y_{3(k+1)} &= Y_{3k} + \mu_k (L_{k+1} - S_{k+1}) \\ &= U_X \text{diag}\{y_{3(k+1)}_i\} U_X^T, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
j_{(k+1)i} &= \max \left\{ 0, z_{ki} + \frac{y_{2ki}}{\mu_k} - \frac{1}{\mu_k} \right\} \\
s_{(k+1)i} &= \max \left\{ 0, l_{ki} + \frac{y_{3ki}}{\mu_k} - \frac{1}{\mu_k} \right\} \\
z_{(k+1)i} &= \frac{1}{1 + \sigma_{X_i}^2} (\sigma_{X_i}^2 (1 - l_{ki}) + j_{(k+1)i}) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma_{X_i} y_{1ki} - y_{2ki}}{(1 + \sigma_{X_i}^2) \mu_k} \\
l_{(k+1)i} &= \frac{1}{1 + \sigma_{X_i}^2} (\sigma_{X_i}^2 (1 - z_{(k+1)i}) + s_{(k+1)i}) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma_{X_i} y_{1ki} - y_{3ki}}{(1 + \sigma_{X_i}^2) \mu_k} \\
y_{1(k+1)i} &= y_{1ki} + \mu_k \sigma_{X_i} (1 - z_{(k+1)i} - l_{(k+1)i}) \\
y_{2(k+1)i} &= y_{2ki} + \mu_k (z_{(k+1)i} - j_{(k+1)i}) \\
y_{3(k+1)i} &= y_{3ki} + \mu_k (l_{(k+1)i} - s_{(k+1)i})
\end{aligned}$$

From (i) and (ii), we know that the conclusion holds for arbitrary positive integer  $k$ .  $\square$

## 2. Proof of Proposition 2

**Proposition 2.** Assuming  $\rho$  is relatively large, when  $\mu_k$  is small, the iteration procedure is approximately equivalent to

$$z_{k+1} = \alpha(1 - l_k), \quad l_{k+1} = \alpha(1 - z_{k+1}), \quad (3)$$

and the solution after the  $k^{\text{th}}$  iteration is as follows.

$$z_k = \frac{\alpha + \alpha^{2k}}{1 + \alpha}, \quad l_k = \alpha(1 - z_k) = \frac{\alpha^3 + \alpha^{2k+1}}{1 + \alpha}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$\alpha = \frac{\sigma_X^2}{1 + \sigma_X^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \sigma_X^2}, \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* The approximation process is included in the paper. This proof solves recurrence sequence (3).

From (3), we have

$$z_1 = \alpha(1 - l_0) = \alpha, \quad (6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
z_{k+1} &= \alpha(1 - l_k) \\
&= \alpha [1 - \alpha(1 - z_k)] \\
&= \alpha(1 - \alpha) + \alpha^2 z_k,
\end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ , which is equivalent to

$$z_{k+1} - \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} = \alpha^2 \left( z_k - \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
z_k &= \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} + \alpha^{2(k-1)} \left( z_1 - \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} \right) \\
&= \frac{\alpha + \alpha^{2k}}{1 + \alpha}, \\
l_k &= \alpha(1 - z_k) = \frac{\alpha^3 + \alpha^{2k+1}}{1 + \alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

## 3. Proof of Proposition 3

**Proposition 3.** Assuming  $\rho$  is relatively large, when  $\mu_k$  is large, the iteration procedure is approximately equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
z_{k+1} &= \alpha(1 - l_k) + (1 - \alpha)z_k, \\
l_{k+1} &= \alpha(1 - z_{k+1}) + (1 - \alpha)l_k,
\end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

When the iteration terminates, the final results  $z$  and  $l$  satisfy

$$z \rightarrow \frac{(1 - \alpha)z_{k_0} - l_{k_0} + 1}{2 - \alpha}, \quad l \rightarrow 1 - z. \quad (9)$$

where  $\alpha$  is defined by (5), and  $k_0$  is some starting point from which the large- $\mu_k$  condition holds.

*Proof.* The approximation process is included in the paper. This proof solves (8).

(8) is equivalent to

$$z_{k+1} + l_k - 1 = (1 - \alpha)(l_k + z_k - 1) \quad (10)$$

$$l_{k+1} + z_{k+1} - 1 = (1 - \alpha)(z_{k+1} + l_k - 1) \quad (11)$$

Then

$$l_{k+1} + z_{k+1} - 1 = (1 - \alpha)^2(l_k + z_k - 1) \quad (12)$$

for all  $k \geq k_0$ , where  $k_0$  is some point of the large- $\mu_k$  case.

Define  $\beta = l_{k_0} + z_{k_0} - 1$ , and (12) (10) gives

$$l_k + z_k - 1 = (1 - \alpha)^{2(k-k_0)} \beta, \quad (13)$$

$$l_k + z_{k+1} - 1 = (1 - \alpha)^{2(k-k_0)+1} \beta. \quad (14)$$

Subtract (13) from (14) and it follows that

$$z_{k+1} - z_k = (1 - \alpha)^{2(k-k_0)+1} - (1 - \alpha)^{2(k-k_0)}, \quad (15)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
z_{k+1} - \frac{\beta}{2 - \alpha} (1 - \alpha)^{2(k+1-k_0)} \\
= z_k - \frac{\beta}{2 - \alpha} (1 - \alpha)^{2(k-k_0)}
\end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for all  $k \geq k_0$ .

From (16), we can obtain the expression of  $z_k$  as

$$z_k = z_{k_0} - \frac{\beta}{2 - \alpha} + \frac{\beta}{2 - \alpha}(1 - \alpha)^{2(k - k_0)}. \quad (17)$$

Plug (17) into (13) and it follows that

$$l_k = 1 - z_k + (1 - \alpha)^{2(k - k_0)}\beta \quad (18)$$

Since  $|1 - \alpha| = 1/(1 + \sigma_X^2) < 1$  in (17) and (18), let  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  and we conclude that

$$z \rightarrow z_{k_0} - \frac{\beta}{2 - \alpha} = \frac{(1 - \alpha)z_{k_0} - l_{k_0} + 1}{2 - \alpha}, \quad (19)$$

$$l \rightarrow 1 - z. \quad (20)$$

When  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $1 - l_k - z_k \rightarrow 1 - l - z = 0$ ,  $z_k - j_k = z_k - z_{k-1} \rightarrow 0$ , and  $l_k - s_k = l_k - l_{k-1} \rightarrow 0$ . Then the stopping criterion in Step 7 of Algorithm 1 will be satisfied, which terminates the iteration.  $\square$