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Old Business

- HW1 Graded
  - Combiners throw away data!
- HW2 Due
- Last week slides updated
- Dense Representations
- Dumbo
Today’s Agenda

- Graph problems and representations
- Parallel breadth-first search
- PageRank
What’s a graph?

- G = (V,E), where
  - V represents the set of vertices (nodes)
  - E represents the set of edges (links)
  - Both vertices and edges may contain additional information

- Different types of graphs:
  - Directed vs. undirected edges
  - Presence or absence of cycles

- Graphs are everywhere:
  - Hyperlink structure of the Web
  - Physical structure of computers on the Internet
  - Interstate highway system
  - Social networks
Some Graph Problems

- Finding shortest paths
  - Routing Internet traffic and UPS trucks
- Finding minimum spanning trees
  - Telco laying down fiber
- Finding Max Flow
  - Airline scheduling
- Identify “special” nodes and communities
  - Breaking up terrorist cells, spread of avian flu
- Bipartite matching
  - Monster.com, Match.com
- And of course... PageRank
Max Flow / Min Cut

Graphs and MapReduce

- Graph algorithms typically involve:
  - Performing computations at each node: based on node features, edge features, and local link structure
  - Propagating computations: “traversing” the graph

- Key questions:
  - How do you represent graph data in MapReduce?
  - How do you traverse a graph in MapReduce?
Representing Graphs

- $G = (V, E)$
- Two common representations
  - Adjacency matrix
  - Adjacency list
Adjacency Matrices

Represent a graph as an $n \times n$ square matrix $M$

- $n = |V|$
- $M_{ij} = 1$ means a link from node $i$ to $j$

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]
Adjacency Matrices: Critique

- **Advantages:**
  - Amenable to mathematical manipulation
  - Iteration over rows and columns corresponds to computations on outlinks and inlinks

- **Disadvantages:**
  - Lots of zeros for sparse matrices
  - Lots of wasted space
## Adjacency Lists

Take adjacency matrices... and throw away all the zeros

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adjacency Lists: Critique

- **Advantages:**
  - Much more compact representation
  - Easy to compute over outlinks

- **Disadvantages:**
  - Much more difficult to compute over inlinks
Single Source Shortest Path

- **Problem:** find shortest path from a source node to one or more target nodes
  - Shortest might also mean lowest weight or cost
- First, a refresher: Dijkstra’s Algorithm
Dijkstra’s Algorithm Example
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Single Source Shortest Path

- **Problem**: find shortest path from a source node to one or more target nodes
  - Shortest might also mean lowest weight or cost
- Single processor machine: Dijkstra’s Algorithm
- MapReduce: parallel Breadth-First Search (BFS)
Finding the Shortest Path

- Consider simple case of equal edge weights
- Solution to the problem can be defined inductively
- Here’s the intuition:
  - Define: \( b \) is reachable from \( a \) if \( b \) is on adjacency list of \( a \)
  - \( \text{DISTANCE}_\text{TO}(s) = 0 \)
  - For all nodes \( p \) reachable from \( s \), \( \text{DISTANCE}_\text{TO}(p) = 1 \)
  - For all nodes \( n \) reachable from some other set of nodes \( M \), 
    \( \text{DISTANCE}_\text{TO}(n) = 1 + \min(\text{DISTANCE}_\text{TO}(m), m \in M) \)
Source: Wikipedia (Wave)
Visualizing Parallel BFS
From Intuition to Algorithm

- Data representation:
  - Key: node $n$
  - Value: $d$ (distance from start), adjacency list (list of nodes reachable from $n$)
  - Initialization: for all nodes except for start node, $d = \infty$

- Mapper:
  - $\forall m \in$ adjacency list: emit ($m$, $d + 1$)

- Sort/Shuffle
  - Groups distances by reachable nodes

- Reducer:
  - Selects minimum distance path for each reachable node
  - Additional bookkeeping needed to keep track of actual path
Multiple Iterations Needed

- Each MapReduce iteration advances the “known frontier” by one hop
  - Subsequent iterations include more and more reachable nodes as frontier expands
  - Multiple iterations are needed to explore entire graph

- Preserving graph structure:
  - Problem: Where did the adjacency list go?
  - Solution: mapper emits \((n, \text{adjacency list})\) as well
BFS Pseudo-Code

```java
1: class Mapper
2:   method MAP(nid n, node N)
3:     d ← N.Distance
4:     Emit(nid n, N)  // Pass along graph structure
5:   for all nodeid m ∈ N.AdjacencyList do
6:     Emit(nid m, d + 1)  // Emit distances to reachable nodes

1: class Reducer
2:   method REDUCE(nid m, [d1, d2, ...])
3:       d_min ← ∞
4:       M ← ∅
5:       for all d ∈ counts [d1, d2, ...] do
6:         if IsNode(d) then
7:           M ← d  // Recover graph structure
8:         else if d < d_min then
9:           d_min ← d  // Look for shorter distance
10:          M.Distance ← d_min  // Update shortest distance
11:         Emit(nid m, node M)
```
Stopping Criterion

- How many iterations are needed in parallel BFS (equal edge weight case)?
- Convince yourself: when a node is first “discovered”, we’ve found the shortest path
- Now answer the question...
  - Six degrees of separation?
- Practicalities of implementation in MapReduce
Comparison to Dijkstra

- Dijkstra’s algorithm is more efficient
  - At any step it only pursues edges from the minimum-cost path inside the frontier
- MapReduce explores all paths in parallel
  - Lots of “waste”
  - Useful work is only done at the “frontier”
- Why can’t we do better using MapReduce?
Weighted Edges

- Now add positive weights to the edges
  - Why can’t edge weights be negative?
- Simple change: adjacency list now includes a weight $w$ for each edge
  - In mapper, emit $(m, d + w_p)$ instead of $(m, d + 1)$ for each node $m$
- That’s it?
Stopping Criterion

- How many iterations are needed in parallel BFS (positive edge weight case)?
- Convince yourself: when a node is first “discovered”, we’ve found the shortest path

*Not true!*
Additional Complexities

\[ s \rightarrow p \rightarrow q \]

Search frontier

\[ n_1 \rightarrow n_2 \rightarrow n_3 \rightarrow n_4 \]
\[ n_5 \rightarrow n_6 \rightarrow n_7 \rightarrow n_8 \rightarrow n_9 \]
Stopping Criterion

- How many iterations are needed in parallel BFS (positive edge weight case)?
- Practicalities of implementation in MapReduce
Graphs and MapReduce

- Graph algorithms typically involve:
  - Performing computations at each node: based on node features, edge features, and local link structure
  - Propagating computations: “traversing” the graph

- Generic recipe:
  - Represent graphs as adjacency lists
  - Perform local computations in mapper
  - Pass along partial results via outlinks, keyed by destination node
  - Perform aggregation in reducer on inlinks to a node
  - Iterate until convergence: controlled by external “driver”
  - Don’t forget to pass the graph structure between iterations
Connection to Theory

- Bulk Synchronous Processing (1990 Valiant)
- Nodes (Processors) can communicate with any neighbor
- However, messages do not arrive until synchronization phase
Random Walks Over the Web

- Random surfer model:
  - User starts at a random Web page
  - User randomly clicks on links, surfing from page to page

- PageRank
  - Characterizes the amount of time spent on any given page
  - Mathematically, a probability distribution over pages

- PageRank captures notions of page importance
  - Correspondence to human intuition?
  - One of thousands of features used in web search
  - Note: query-independent
PageRank: Defined

Given page \( x \) with inlinks \( t_1 \ldots t_n \), where

- \( C(t) \) is the out-degree of \( t \)
- \( \alpha \) is probability of random jump
- \( N \) is the total number of nodes in the graph

\[
PR(x) = \alpha \left( \frac{1}{N} \right) + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{PR(t_i)}{C(t_i)}
\]
Computing PageRank

- Properties of PageRank
  - Can be computed iteratively
  - Effects at each iteration are local

- Sketch of algorithm:
  - Start with seed $PR_i$ values
  - Each page distributes $PR_i$ “credit” to all pages it links to
  - Each target page adds up “credit” from multiple in-bound links to compute $PR_{i+1}$
  - Iterate until values converge
Simplified PageRank

- First, tackle the simple case:
  - No random jump factor
  - No dangling links
- Then, factor in these complexities…
  - Why do we need the random jump?
  - Where do dangling links come from?
Sample PageRank Iteration (1)
Sample PageRank Iteration (2)
PageRank in MapReduce
PageRank Pseudo-Code

```
1: class Mapper
2:  method Map(nid n, node N)
3:      p ← N.PageRank/|N.AdjacencyList|
4:      Emit(nid n, N)  ▷ Pass along graph structure
5:      for all nodeid m ∈ N.AdjacencyList do
6:          Emit(nid m, p)  ▷ Pass PageRank mass to neighbors

1: class Reducer
2:  method Reduce(nid m, [p₁, p₂, ...])
3:      M ← ∅
4:      for all p ∈ counts [p₁, p₂, ...] do
5:          if IsNode(p) then
6:              M ← p  ▷ Recover graph structure
7:          else
8:              s ← s + p
9:      M.PageRank ← s  ▷ Sums incoming PageRank contributions
10:     Emit(nid m, node M)
```
Complete PageRank

- Two additional complexities
  - What is the proper treatment of dangling nodes?
  - How do we factor in the random jump factor?

- Solution:
  - Second pass to redistribute “missing PageRank mass” and account for random jumps

\[ p' = \alpha \left( \frac{1}{|G|} \right) + (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{m}{|G|} + p \right) \]

- \( p \) is PageRank value from before, \( p' \) is updated PageRank value
- \(|G|\) is the number of nodes in the graph
- \( m \) is the missing PageRank mass
PageRank Convergence

- Alternative convergence criteria
  - Iterate until PageRank values don’t change
  - Iterate until PageRank rankings don’t change
  - Fixed number of iterations

- Convergence for web graphs?
Beyond PageRank

- Link structure is important for web search
  - PageRank is one of many link-based features: HITS, SALSA, etc.
  - One of many thousands of features used in ranking...

- Adversarial nature of web search
  - Link spamming
  - Spider traps
  - Keyword (Language Model) stuffing
  - Domain Sniping
  - Requester-Mirage
  - ...

Digging In: Counters

- How do you know how many dangling pages?
- Use counters
  - Many built in counters
  - Visible on JobTracker
  - Keeps long-running jobs from being killed
  - Good for debugging

```java
static enum WordType {
    STARTS_WITH_DIGIT,
    STARTS_WITH_LETTER
}

context.getCounter(WordType.STARTS_WITH_LETTER).increment(1);

RunningJob job = JobClient.runJob(conf); // blocks until job completes
Counters c = job.getCounters();
long cnt = c.getCounter(WordType.STARTS_WITH_DIGIT);
```
Efficient Graph Algorithms

- Sparse vs. dense graphs
- Graph topologies
Power Laws are everywhere!

Local Aggregation

- Use combiners!
  - In-mapper combining design pattern also applicable
- Maximize opportunities for local aggregation
  - Simple tricks: sorting the dataset in specific ways
  - Partition graphs
Graphs at Google

- MapReduce – designed to handle PageRank
- MapReduce still handles 80% of computations
- Pregel (based on BSP)
  - Node – centric computation
    - Can send messages to neighbors
    - Can add edges, neighbors
    - Process previous messages
  - Handle conflict
  - Provide partitioning heuristics (reduce communication)
  - Not public
Questions?

Digging In: BFS Node

```java
public class BFSNode implements Writable {
    public static final int TYPE_COMPLETE = 1;
    public static final int TYPE_DISTANCE = 2;
    public static final int TYPE_STRUCTURE = 3;
    private int mType;
    private int mNodeId;
    private int mDistance;
    private ArrayListOfIntsWritable mAdjacencyList;

    public BFSNode() {
    }

    public int getDistance() {
        return mDistance;
    }

    public void setDistance(int d) {
        mDistance = d;
    }

    public int getNodeId() {
        return mNodeId;
    }

    public void setNodeId(int n) {
        mNodeId = n;
    }

    public ArrayListOfIntsWritable getAdjacencyList() {
        return mAdjacencyList;
    }
}
```
Digging In: BFS Node

```java
public void readFields(DataInput in) throws IOException {
    mType = in.readByte();
    mNodeId = in.readInt();

    if (mType == TYPE_DISTANCE) {
        mDistance = in.readInt();
        return;
    }

    if (mType == TYPE_COMPLETE) {
        mDistance = in.readInt();
    }

    mAdjacencyList = new ArrayListOfIntsWritable();
    mAdjacencyList.readFields(in);
}
```
Digging In: BFS Node

```java
public void write(DataOutput out) throws IOException {
    out.writeByte((byte) mType);
    out.writeInt(mNodeId);

    if (mType == TYPE_DISTANCE) {
        out.writeInt(mDistance);
        return;
    }

    if (mType == TYPE_COMPLETE) {
        out.writeInt(mDistance);
    }

    mAdjacencyList.write(out);
}
```
Digging In: BFS Node

```java
public String toString() {
    StringBuilder s = new StringBuilder();
    s.append("(");
    s.append(mNodeId);
    s.append(" ");
    s.append(mOslance);
    s.append(" ");
    if (mAdjacencyList == null) {
        s.append("{};
    } else {
        s.append("{");
        for (int i = 0; i < mAdjacencyList.size(); i++) {
            s.append(mAdjacencyList.get(i));
            s.append(" ");
            if (i < mAdjacencyList.size() - 1)
                s.append(",");
        }
        s.append("}"");
    }
    s.append(" ");
    return s.toString();
}
```
Digging In: BFS Mapper

// Mapper with in-mapper combiner optimization.
private static class MapClass extends
    Mapper<IntWritable, BFSNode, IntWritable, BFSNode> {

    // For buffering distances keyed by destination node.
    private static final HMapII map = new HMapII();

    // For passing along node structure.
    private static final BFSNode intermediateStructure = new BFSNode();
Digging In: BFS Mapper

```java
@Override
public void map(IntWritable nid, BFSNode node, Context context) throws IOException, InterruptedException {

    // Pass along node structure.
    intermediateStructure.setId(node.getId());
    intermediateStructure.setType(BFSNode.TYPE_STRUCTURE);
    intermediateStructure.setAdjacencyList(node.getAdjacencyList());
    context.write(nid, intermediateStructure);

    if (node.getDistance() == Integer.MAX_VALUE) {
        return;
    }
```
Digging In: BFS Mapper

```java
context.getCounter(reachableNodes.Map).increment(1);
// Retain distance to self.
map.put(nid.get(), node.getDistance());

ArrayList<Integer> adj = node.getAdjacencyList();
int dist = node.getDistance() + 1;
// Keep track of shortest distance to neighbors.
for (int i = 0; i < adj.size(); i++) {
    int neighbor = adj.get(i);

    // Keep track of distance if it's shorter than previously encountered, or if we haven't encountered this node.
    if ((map.containsKey(neighbor) && dist < map.get(neighbor)) || !map.containsKey(neighbor)) {
        map.put(neighbor, dist);
    }
}
```
Digging In: BFS Mapper

```java
@Override
public void cleanup(Mapper<IntWritable, BFSNode, IntWritable, BFSNode>.Context context)
    throws IOException, InterruptedException {
    // Now emit the messages all at once.
    IntWritable k = new IntWritable();
    BFSNode dist = new BFSNode();

    for (Map.Entry e : map.entrySet()) {
        k.set(e.getKey());
        dist.setNodeId(e.getKey());
        dist.setType(BFSNode.TYPE_DISTANCE);
        dist.setDistance(e.getValue());

        context.write(k, dist);
    }
}
```
Digging In: BFS Reducer

```java
@override
public void reduce(IntWritable mid, Iterable<BFSNode> iterable, Context context)
    throws IOException, InterruptedException {

    Iterator<BFSNode> values = iterable.iterator();

    int structureReceived = 0;
    int dist = Integer.MAX_VALUE;
    while (values.hasNext()) {
        BFSNode n = values.next();

        if (n.getType() == BFSNode.TYPE_STRUCTURE) {
            // This is the structure; update accordingly.
            ArrayList<IntWritable> list = n.getAdjacencyList();
            structureReceived++;

            int arr[] = new int[list.size()];
            for (int i = 0; i < list.size(); i++) {
                arr[i] = list.get(i);
            }

            node.setAdjacencyList(new ArrayList<IntWritable>(arr));
        } else {
            // This is a message that contains distance.
            if (n.getDistance() < dist) {
                dist = n.getDistance();
            }
        }
    }
}
Digging In: BFS Reducer

```java
node.setType(BFSNode.TYPE_COMPLETE);
node.setNodeId(nid.get());
node.setDistance(dist); // Update the final distance.

if (dist != Integer.MAX_VALUE) {
    context.getCounter(ReachableNodes.Reduce).increment(1);
}

// Error checking.
if (structureReceived == 1) {
    // Everything checks out, emit final node structure with updated
    // distance.
    context.write(nid, node);
} else if (structureReceived == 0) {
    // We get into this situation if there exists an edge pointing
    // to a node which has no corresponding node structure (i.e.,
    // distance was passed to a non-existent node)... log but move
    // on.
    LOG.warn("No structure received for nodeId: " + nid.get());
} else {
    // This shouldn't happen!
    throw new RuntimeException("Multiple structure received for nodeId: " + nid.get() + "struct: " + structureReceived);
}
```
Digging In: Runner

- For multiple iterations, use multiple jobs inside a for loop
- Convergence?
- Combiner?
Questions?